Palestinian Economy, Policy Framework and Growth Prospects: A Modeling Perspective

Yousef S. Daoud * Mahmoud A.T. Elkhafif ** Basim Makhool *

E-mail <u>vdaoud@birzeit.edu</u> <u>mahmoud.elkhafif@unctad.org</u> <u>makho@najah.edu</u>

To be presented at EconModNet, Free University of Brussels Conference on

> Middle East and North African Economies: Past Perspectives and Future Challenges

> > Brussels, June 2-4, 2005

Disclaimer:

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of UNCTAD.

[•] Birzeit University and consultant with the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

^{**} United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

^{*} Al-Najah National University and the Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute.

Introduction

The Palestinian economy continues to be engulfed in the economic crises sparked by the Israeli incursions in the occupied Palestinian Territory since the outbreak of the Al-Aqsa Intifada in September 2000. There was a substantial decline in the performance of different socioeconomic indicators, which have reached alarming levels in 2002. In 2003, the Palestinian economy began to show signs of recovery and adjustment to the crisis after two years of unprecedented regression. For example, real gross domestic product (GDP) in 2003 experienced a growth rate of 4.5% compared to 2002 by reaching US\$ 4011 million at constant 97 prices after a decline by 11.1% compared to 1999 (PCBS 2004a). Drastic changes have also been witnessed at the sectoral level. Value added by sector in 2003 was close to the level in 1999 for the best performing sector (construction) and much below the 1999 level for all other sectors.

Previous models of the Palestinian economy such as UNCTAD (1994 and 2000), and the World Bank (2001) CGE model, as well as others, lack the economic changes that took place as a result of the Paris Protocol and the recent havoc since 2000. This paper presents an integrated macroeconometric model of the Palestinian economy. The model covers the details of national accounts, trade, labor and demography relationships over the period 1972-2002. In addition, the model follows the input-output approach to bridge between the demand and supply sides of the economy. The latter is modeled in four productive sectors: agriculture, industry, construction and services. This framework does not only aim at estimating some important elasticities but attempts to simulate the economy to assess the impact of alternative policy frameworks/scenarios.

One of the primary markets that attract attention is the labor market. The ever-renewed interest in the labor market stems from its segmentation on one hand, and its connection to the political stability or lack thereof on the other. Employment in Israel, as is often the case, pays higher wages and requires little to no education. At its peak, Palestinian employment in Israel accounted for about 25 % of the labor force. Following the eruption of the second Intifada in September 2000, this figure dropped substantially, raising unemployment from 14% to above 30%. As a result, domestic employment distribution is altered, with agriculture and, to a lesser extent, services sector acting as the economy's shock absorber. Increasing pressure is also placed on the budgets of Palestinian National Authority in terms of hiring and social services.

The model addresses these issues by showing that employment in Israel, although it pays higher wages, is not totally driven by wage differential. Israeli restrictive measures in the occupied Palestinian territory and domestic labor supply play important roles. The effect of wage offered to Palestinians employed in Israel on domestic sectoral wage is strongest in agriculture, followed by construction and then industry. Agriculture and industry wages are more sensitive to unemployment than construction and services. Among the policy scenarios that could be assessed by this analytical framework is the impact of sectoral employment generation programs and the effects of tax on Palestinian employment in Israel on unemployment, employment in Israel, income and trade balance.

The model also considers the impact of the Israeli closure policy, which directly reduces net factor income owing to lower employment in Israel. This policy also restricts the movement of domestically produced goods more severely than it does for imports from Israel. As a matter of fact, the results indicate that the closure policy simultaneously reduces Palestinian

exports and increases their imports. These mechanisms are more evident in the case of trade with Israel than trade with the rest of the world. As a result, within the past three years the Palestinian trade deficit with Israel grew faster than that with the rest of the world. Furthermore, depreciation of the New Israeli Shekel, a policy instrument not available to the Palestinian policy maker, seems to have had little impact on exports to the rest of the world. Thus, it could be advantageous for the Palestinians to consider a more diversified trade regime, such as regional/international free trade agreements, rather than an asymmetric custom union.

The objective of this paper is to assess a wide range of policy options, which helps in the formulation of alternative strategies for the future of Palestinian economic relations and policies. Specifically, the paper will focus on future macroeconomic, labor and trade policies. This will require an assessment of the concerned sectors and the present policy framework. To quantify the impact of the alternative sectoral and macroeconomic policies, an integrated analytical framework will be developed. The proposed model aims to reflect the present Palestinian economic reality by incorporating current available data and new modeling techniques, as well as build on the experience of previous models. An additional goal is to quantify the impact of both trade and labor policies, the increase in the number of returnees, taxes and transfers policy, investment programs, debt and foreign saving.

A time series database was constructed based on the UNCTAD's 1972-2002 database. The current model added other variables as needed. Data compiled and presented are in constant (1997) prices. Data used were retrieved from, among others, the Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics (PCBS) and the *Statistical Abstract of Israel*. Israeli published data had been subjected to key modifications to make them consistent with data published by PCBS. All data in Israeli Shekels (NIS), as reported by the Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics (ICBS), are converted into US\$ using an average annual exchange rate estimated by the World Bank. Data are verified on a global basis through a constant analysis of the variables against their historical trends, as well as through team-work and internal double-checking. In conclusion, these checks confirm the overall good quality of the database.

The Model

The model consists of 35 behavioral equations and 119 identities; the two main blocks are 1) demography and labor markets and, 2) trade and national accounts. In addition, there are three other blocks, namely government, prices and sectoral value added. The structure of the model reflects a high degree of dependency by the Palestinian economy on that of the Israeli economy for employment and trade. During the period 1967-2000, exports to Israel averaged approximately 59% of the total Palestinian exports, while imports from Israel averaged about 63% of the total. Concerning the labor market, employment in Israel continued to be over 30% of the labor supply until 1993, after which it declined to less that 10% in 2002. Following Elkhafif (1996) in his work on the Egyptian economy, the model incorporates supply and demand factors rather than being solely demand driven or supply determined. Final demand variables work through value added equations, which in turn affect sectoral employment. Figure 1 below shows the structure of the Palestinian economy model.



Figure 1: A simplified flow chart of the Palestinian economy model

No Palestinian government, in the national sense, was present from 1967 to 1993: therefore data from the Israeli Civil Administration (ICA) for this time span is consulted for the government sector. This period is characterized by Israel's collection of taxes coupled with an almost total lack of implementing programs for the development of the Palestinian territory. The expenditure that did take place was primarily for the running of the health, educational, postal and local governmental staff. In 1992 the ICA budget was in surplus by \$17 million¹ (Khader 1999). With the establishment of the Palestinian National Authority (PNA) in 1994, the term "government" took on a different meaning. The PNA developed a centralized budget with only partial fiscal policy tools. Despite the fact that in the early years the PNA seldom performed any fiscal management in the macroeconomic sense, it did contribute to the absorption of thousands of employees, especially in times of restricted access to the Israeli labour markets. It also implemented many employment generation schemes.² Daoud (2002) points out that the PNA's fiscal actions were not consistent with GDP movements over time nor were they responsive to private sector development needs. The spending structure of the Palestinian budget has experienced significant growth on per capita bases.

¹ The current data set shows a deficit of \$ 45 million in 1991 and \$ 137 million in 1992.

² For the better part of 1996, the Holst Fund administered by the World Bank and implemented by PECDAR were not subject to the Ministry of Finance budgetary procedures.

Figure 2: Total Government Revenues (GRTR) and Total Government Expenditures (GETR)/million 1997 US\$



Figure 2 reflects the historical trends of both government revenues and expenditures. The expanded budget was in part due to the retention of large customs clearances which were historically kept by Israel. The donor community also stepped up their contributions to help the newly established PNA with significant contributions to capital expenditure. It is evident that the deficit reached new proportions with the beginning of the second Intifada when Israel withheld all clearances that accrued to the PNA.

Some of the studies that modeled the government are Al-Naqib (1996), UNCTAD (1994a), El-Jaafari (1998), Arnon, Luski, Spivak, and Weinblatt (1997) Fishelson (1989), and Baums (1989). Most of these studies treated government as exogenous. In this model government consumption, other revenues and net indirect taxes and subsides are endogenous. Public consumption is thought of to be influenced by public employment, lagged deficit, PNA dummy and lagged dependent variable. Public investment is exogenous/policy variable, other government expenditures were also left as exogenous. On the revenue side, VAT revenues were estimated twice as identities. The first is the effective VAT rate multiplied by GDP at factor cost, and the second is an identity as 17% of value added. The difference between the two is an estimate of the fiscal leakage which could be a source of revenues if reduced. The leakage is in turn used to explain other revenues along with imports from the rest of the world (ROW). Income tax revenues are decomposed into domestic and income taxes imposed on Palestinian employment in Israel.

The government's fiscal policy has been focused on the improvement of revenue collection. Despite large improvement in that regard, fiscal leakage is still substantial. On the other hand, government fiscal policy is governed by the economic agreement (Paris Protocol) which gives the PNA little maneuvering space to change tax rates. The PNA did not actually use any of the allowed tax setting policies by the agreement. The model allows changes in tax/subsidy switches on trade and wages to explore their effects.

The monetary sector is noticeably absent from the model because of the lack of monetary policy options available to the Palestine Monetary Authority (PMA) as well as the non-existence of a Palestinian currency. The financial sector is only considered to show the effects of credit extension and lending rate on investment. While the first instrument is available to the Palestinian policy maker, the latter is beyond their reach as it is determined

by the Bank of Israel. It is commonly found in the literature that private investment is not as sensitive to interest rates as much as the political instability in the Palestinian Territory.³

In the absence of a Palestinian monetary policy to combat inflation, Israeli prices are transmitted by way of the large proportion of trade with Israel. The closure policy also affects Palestinian prices through the supply bottlenecks; intermediate goods imported from Israel affect domestic production and thus creates shortages. Price deflators are introduced in some detail to account for inflation dynamics in Palestine and to investigate the effects of labor productivity, the NIS exchange rate (another policy variable beyond the reach of Palestinian policy makers) and prices in Jordan. The deflators covered are: prices of consumption, investment (construction and non-construction), exports (goods and services), and imports (goods and services).

The primary objectives of the model are twofold: first, to show the effects of exogenous shocks on the primary dependent variables and secondly, to provide a baseline forecast scenario to which various policy shocks can be compared. Chief among Palestinian concerns is the separation wall and its impact on the freedom of movement of goods and labor services. The closure policy has often been a major source of labor market distortion since the beginning of the 1990's. Employment in Israel provides short term relief as evidenced by the relative share of net factor income. The long run drawback is that Palestinian employment in Israel is not conducive to technology transfer, and thus may hamper Palestinian long term growth prospects (Ruppert Bulmer (2003)).

Estimation

The time series were first visually checked for structural breaks; many such cases were found and were incorporated into the model. The augmented Dickey-Fuller test was applied to all series with different specifications and time lags. The non-stationary null was not rejected for all variables. In such cases the use of Johansen's (1988) Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) would be the appropriate procedure. It was not used, however, because of data limitations. The model was estimated by Zellner's (1968) *seemingly unrelated regression* to gain the efficiency from cross equation correlations. This is valid as long as the purpose of the regression is for forecasting and policy simulation. It needs to be noted that, in line with the prior selection process, variables were not necessarily included based upon their tstatistics and standard errors. Rather, emphasis was on theoretical consistency which required at times the inclusion of variables that were not necessarily statistically significantly. The estimated coefficients of the model equations are presented in Tables 2-6.

The model was estimated for the entire 1972 – 2002 period; dynamic simulations provided the predicted values to compute the Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE) and Theil's inequality coefficient. Table 1 below shows that except for the female participation rates and male participation rates, the match is very good. Figure 3 shows the time series plot for some of the dependent variables. Evidently the model tracks the historical data quite nicely. The models performance was less accurate in the prediction of male and female participation rates (not shown).

³ See for example Hamed (1999)

Dependent Variable	MAPE	Theil
Total employment	0.0890	0.0000
Domestic employment in agriculture	0.1266	0.0000
Domestic employment in industry	0.1473	0.0000
Domestic employment in construction	0.1854	0.0000
Domestic employment in services	0.1426	0.0000
Employment in Israel	0.0634	0.0000
Female participation rate	0.1763	2.1437
Male participation rate	0.0607	0.1089
Exports of G & S to Israel	0.1845	0.0008
Exports of G & S to the ROW	0.1752	0.0009
Imports of G & S to Israel	0.1432	0.0002
Imports of G & S to the ROW	0.0868	0.0002
Net factor income	0.1074	0.0002
Government consumption	0.0728	0.0001
Net indirect taxes and subsidies	0.7703	0.0024
Government other revenues	0.3107	0.0023
Private investment	0.1587	0.0004
Private consumption	0.1122	0.1623
Wage in agriculture	0.1176	0.0139
Wage in industry	0.1085	0.0102
Wage in construction	0.0720	0.0049
Wage in services	0.0961	0.0075
Overall wages	0.0836	0.0074

Table 1: MAPE and Theil's inequality coefficient.

Figure 3: Simulated and actual series for some variables



Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
_	Constant	5.8597	0.6147	9.5329	0.0000	0.877
nt ir	Palestinians wage in Israel relative to	0.0402	0.0036	11 1501	0.0000	
me ael	I abor supply	0.0402	0.0030	8 8 2 2 0	0.0000	
loy Isra	Closure days+	-0.0042	0.0001	0.0329	0.0000	
duu	Government investment	-0.0042	0.0002	-19.1421	0.0000	
Щ	95.96 dummy+	-0.0040	0.0189	-10 1/83	0.0007	
	Constant	-0.2740	0.0271	7 2239	0.0000	0.955
	Value added in agriculture	0 1942	0.0361	5 3841	0.0000	0.855
_	A griculture wage	-0 1297	0.0353	-3 6774	0.0003	
nt ir re	Employment in industry	-0 3549	0.0953	-3 7252	0.0002	
mer ultu	Employment in services	0.5386	0.0890	6 0553	0.0002	
loyı ricu	Change in employment in Israel to	0.0000	0.0090	0.00000	0.0000	
mp] Ag	domestic employment ratio+	-1.1015	0.2407	-4.5753	0.0000	
Ш	94-95 dummy+	0.2260	0.0289	7.8217	0.0000	
	Share of non-construction investment+	0.0616	0.0528	1.1665	0.2437	
	Lagged dep. Variable	0.1737	0.0608	2.8568	0.0044	
u	Constant	1.9985	0.1857	10.7622	0.0000	0.989
nt i y	Value added in industry	0.1368	0.0251	5.4453	0.0000	
me Istr	Industry wage	-0.0400				
loy	Employment in construction	0.1838	0.0165	11.1237	0.0000	
I	Employment in services	0.2177	0.0366	5.9429	0.0000	
Щ	Lagged dep. Variable	0.3267	0.0369	8.8530	0.0000	
	Constant	-7.6084	0.7657	-9.9367	0.0000	0.98
ц	Value added in construction	0.3951	0.0310	12.7624	0.0000	
nt i ion	Construction wage	-0.2813	0.0530	-5.3095	0.0000	
'me ruct	Population	1.0997	0.0687	16.0085	0.0000	
oloy nsti	Employment In Israel	-0.1364	0.0401	-3.3994	0.0007	
Co	Share of non-construction investment+	-0.3000	0.0536	-5.5943	0.0000	
н	94,95,97,98 dummy+	0.4103	0.0154	26.6389	0.0000	
	Lagged dep. Variable	0.1806	0.0392	4.6093	0.0000	
	Constant	-0.0592	0.2103	-0.2814	0.7784	0.99
	Value added in services	0.3880	0.0162	23.8969	0.0000	
ц.	Services wage	-0.0247	0.0162	-1.5216	0.1285	
ent	Employment in agriculture	0.2890	0.0151	19.0843	0.0000	
yme	Employment in industry	0.3886	0.0250	15.5384	0.0000	
plo	Employment in construction	-0.0619	0.0093	-6.6467	0.0000	
l m	Employment in Israel to domestic					
-	employment ratio+	-0.8097	0.0705	-11.4873	0.0000	
	Government employment	0.2464	0.0100	24.7196	0.0000	
	95 dummy+	0.1727	0.0128	13.4613	0.0000	
e on	Constant	0.2979	0.0755	3.9439	0.0001	0.87
ati Mal	Wage	0.0051	0.0086	0.6009	0.5481	
icif te 1	GDP at factor cost	0.0444	0.0082	5.4408	0.0000	
Part Rai	Closure days+	-0.0001	0.0000	-3.6035	0.0003	
Ц	AR(1)	0.9200	0.0310	29.6840	0.0000	

Table 2: Estimates of labor market relations*

Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
on nale	Constant	0.0320	0.0408	0.7827	0.4340	0.63
pati Fen	Per-capita GNDI	-0.0079	0.0066	-1.1982	0.2312	
rtici e –	Domestic employment rate	0.0198	0.0168	1.1797	0.2384	
Pa	AR(1)	0.8027	0.0375	21.3823	0.0000	
u u	Constant	1.2728	0.1293	9.8446	0.0000	0.76
ge in ultu	Wage; Palestinian employment in Israel	0.4053	0.0370	10.9526	0.0000	
Wag	Domestic unemployment rate+	-0.6937	0.1324	-5.2375	0.0000	
Ý V	AR(1)	0.5858	0.0365	16.0531	0.0000	
	Constant	1.5750	0.1548	10.1774	0.0000	0.859
ge ii 1stry	Wage; Palestinian employment in Israel	0.3320	0.0395	8.4031	0.0000	
Wag	Domestic unemployment rate+	-0.2292	0.0955	-2.4005	0.0166	
	AR(1)	0.8098	0.0330	24.5136	0.0000	
n ion	Constant	1.1981	0.1104	10.8479	0.0000	0.836
ge i ruct	Wage; Palestinian employment in Israel	0.3360	0.0241	13.9536	0.0000	
Wa	Labor productivity in construction	0.0666	0.0059	11.2123	0.0000	
CC ,	AR(1)	0.7632	0.0373	20.4494	0.0000	
	Constant	-1.4791	0.8541	-1.7318	0.0837	0.686
	Unemployment rate+	-0.2220	0.1804	-1.2309	0.2187	
ice i	Labor productivity in services	0.3034	0.0630	4.8179	0.0000	
Vag Serv	Government consumption	0.1272	0.0586	2.1693	0.0303	
	Wage; Palestinian employment in Israel	0.2006	0.0467	4.2956	0.0000	
	AR(1)	0.6928	0.0554	12.5002	0.0000	

Table 2: Estimates of labor market relations* - Continued

* All variables are in logs unless denoted by +

Table 3:	: Estimates	of governme	nt block	relations*
----------	-------------	-------------	----------	------------

Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
nt on	Constant	-0.4139	0.1942	-2.1309	0.0334	0.976
ptic	Government employment	0.3628	0.0266	13.6364	0.0000	
erni	Lagged deficit	0.0588	0.0167	3.5225	0.0005	
voť	93-02 dummy	0.1353	0.0235	5.7502	0.0000	
0.0	Lagged dep. Variable	0.4460	0.0325	13.7272	0.0000	
ler es	Constant	6.5981	0.7556	8.7325	0.0000	0.823
oth	Imports pf goods and services	0.4412	0.0685	6.4367	0.0000	
ov't eve	Fiscal leakage	-0.7308	0.0713	-10.2525	0.0000	
ъ G	AR(1)	0.8418	0.0329	25.6254	0.0000	
nd. s & dies	Constant	-0.3406	0.3963	-0.8596	0.3902	0.774
et I axe ıbsi	Gov't other revenues	0.9769	0.0798	12.2491	0.0000	
Sc T _i	88,89,94 dummy+	3.0134	0.1097	27.4608	0.0000	

* All variables are in logs unless denoted by +

Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
10	Constant	0.2695	0.4592	0.5868	0.5575	0.952
- ⁸	Consumption	0.3058	0.0852	3.5886	0.0004	
G	Investment	0.3331	0.0522	6.3833	0.0000	
s of n Is	Import deflator	-0.0554	0.0540	-1.0257	0.3053	
fror	Closure days+	0.0010	0.0002	4.6051	0.0000	
dul	Share of goods to total imports+	2.4779	0.2339	10.5957	0.0000	
	Share of non-construction investment+	-0.0769	0.1576	-0.4881	0.6256	
S	Constant	0.8314	0.3963	2.0981	0.0362	0.917
S ≥	Consumption	0.3411	0.0803	4.2475	0.0000	
of (RO	Investment	0.4171	0.0472	8.8449	0.0000	
om	Import deflator	-0.0800				
odu	99 dummy+	0.4292	0.0337	12.7307	0.0000	
In	Closure days+	0.0009	0.0002	4.1306	0.0000	
vice	Constant	1 3167	0 2153	6 1 1 6 1	0 0000	0.816
Ser rt R	Relative price	-3 0234	0.2133	-14 5646	0.0000	
/spo	Trend+	0.0124	0.0097	1 2749	0.0000	
Joc In	AR(1)	0.7731	0.0459	16 8600	0.0000	
<u> </u>	Constant	1 1510	1 0346	1 1125	0 2662	0.856
SS	Average domestic wage	-0 7187	0 1184	-6 0687	0.0000	0.850
el G&	Israeli GDP	0.5790	0.1017	5.6959	0.0000	
s of Isra	Export deflator	-0.0800				
ort: to]	93 dummy+	-2.2215	0.0398	-55.8118	0.0000	
Exp	closure davs+	-0.0021	0.0004	-5.6832	0.0000	
	AR(1)	0.3523	0.0319	11.0482	0.0000	
	Constant	-5.0641	1.7866	-2.8345	0.0047	0.865
& S	Export deflator	-0.0800				0.000
≤G	Labor productivity	0.3951	0.1193	3.3130	0.0010	
s of RO	93-02 dummy+	0.7127	0.0347	20.5480	0.0000	
to	Jordan's GDP	0.7162	0.2000	3.5809	0.0004	
Exp	Closure days+	-0.0013	0.0004	-3.4953	0.0005	
	AR(1)	0.8560	0.0378	22.6645	0.0000	
rvic rt	Constant	1.8016	0.1093	16.4871	0.0000	0.685
/Sei kpo tio	Goods/services relative price	-7.9953	0.3303	-24.2038	0.0000	
ods/ s Ey Ra	Trend+	0.0245	0.0052	4.7452	0.0000	
e, Go	AR(1)	0.3742	0.0401	9.3272	0.0000	
-	Constant	0.9366	0.4285	2.1856	0.0291	0.9
ctor	Emp. in Israel	0.2673	0.0482	5.5482	0.0000	0.9
Fac	Jordan's GDP	0.3297	0.0279	11.8362	0.0000	
Vet In(Closure days+	-0.0054	0.0002	-22.5263	0.0000	
	94, 2000 dummy+	-0.3239	0.0241	-13.4223	0.0000	

Table 4: Regression results for trade block*

* All variables are in logs unless denoted by +

Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
5	Constant	0.0034	0.2014	0.0169	0.9865	0.961
te ptioi	Gross private disposable income	0.4400	0.0369	11.9168	0.0000	
riva	86,88,99 dummy+	0.0840	0.0079	10.6063	0.0000	
P	94-2002 dummy+	0.0926	0.0141	6.5631	0.0000	
	Lagged dep. Variable	0.5440	0.0318	17.1192	0.0000	
	Constant	-4.3969	0.5629	-7.8109	0.0000	0.848
	Gross national disposable income less	1 1549	0.0711	16 2326	0.0000	
te tent	Government investment	0 2829	0.0711	12 1603	0.0000	
rival estm	Change in credit extension+	0.1202	0.0230	5 2077	0.0000	
P	Closure days+	-0.0015	0.0003	-5.7602	0.0000	
	Lending rate+	-0.0326	0.0047	-7.0123	0.0000	
	2000 dummy+	-0.2702	0.0387	-6.9838	0.0000	
e of	Constant	1.8239	0.1374	13.2779	0.0000	0.784
Share	Construction/Non-construction investment	1 7722	0 2017	1 (150	0.0000	
ive (nstru on-c	Trond+	-1.//55	0.3817	-4.0438	0.0000	
Co In No.	A P(1)	-0.05	0.0254	32 0003	0.0000	
u u	Constant	-0.0094	0.0234	-0.4531	0.0000	0.988
ptio	Israel price index	0.0352	0.0207	9 1242	0.0000	0.900
sum	Import deflator	0.0552	0.0055	21 4324	0.0000	
Con Defla	72-80 84-85 dummy+	0.0272	0.0074	13 9889	0.0000	
vate I	Lagged dep. variable	0.3656	0.0274	13.3290	0.0000	
Priv	AR(1)	0.6592	0.0225	29.2702	0.0000	
ır	Constant	-0.5872	0.0661	-8.8802	0.0000	0.96
on filatc	W3 (1-t3)	0.1848	0.0222	8.3176	0.0000	
uctic t De	Goods' imports deflator	0.3821	0.0551	6.9289	0.0000	
men	Exchange rate	0.0314	0.0040	7.9197	0.0000	
Cc ivest	85 dummy+	-0.1719	0.0253	-6.8003	0.0000	
Image: Second and the construction in restriction			0.0407	6.8469	0.0000	
or	Constant	0.5529	0.1707	3.2399	0.0012	0.97
ction eflat	Labor productivity	-0.0709	0.0184	-3.8486	0.0001	
nstru nt D	Goods' imports deflator	0.3990	0.0463	8.6185	0.0000	
-cor tmei	Exchange rate	0.0388	0.0033	11.9063	0.0000	
Non Ives	85 dummy+	-0.2258	0.0213	-10.6089	0.0000	
II	Lagged dep. variable	0.2541	0.0395	6.4322	0.0000	
o	Constant	0.6691	0.1551	4.3147	0.0000	0.978
Pric	Labor productivity - services	-0.0649	0.0162	-4.0025	0.0001	
orts tor	Exchange rate	-0.0065	0.0023	-2.8195	0.0049	
-exp)efla	Import price deflator	0.8138	0.0277	29.3801	0.0000	
vice. D	93-02 dummy+	-0.0585	0.0080	-7.2631	0.0000	
Ser	Lagged dep. variable	0.1943	0.0307	6.3327	0.0000	
	AR(1)	0.4950	0.0465	10.6466	0.0000	

Table 5: Regression results of demand and price equations*

Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
s Price r	Constant	0.6841	0.0902	7.5832	0.0000	0.98
	Labor productivity - industry	-0.0731	0.0100	-7.3425	0.0000	
port lato	Exchange rate	-0.0038	0.0016	-2.3253	0.0203	
l-ex]	Import price deflator	0.7992	0.0290	27.6029	0.0000	
spoo	84-93,94-02 dummy+	0.0149	0.0034	4.3521	0.0000	
GG	Lagged dep. variable	0.1665	0.0227	7.3466	0.0000	
or	Constant	-0.0135	0.0147	-0.9215	0.3570	0.938
npor flato	Israel price index	0.0097	0.0025	3.8329	0.0001	
ce-ii De	Jordan price index	0.0409	0.0211	1.9365	0.0531	
rvic	72-80 dummy+	0.0780	0.0090	8.6189	0.0000	
Se P	Lagged dep. variable	0.7337	0.0353	20.8083	0.0000	
ports lator						
-imp Defl	Constant	-0.0602	0.0467	-1.2895	0.1976	0.933
ods ice]	Jordan price index	0.1332	0.0411	3.2365	0.0013	
Go Pri	AR(1)	0.7305	0.0306	23.8773	0.0000	

Table 5: Regression results of demand and price equations - Continued

* All variables are in logs unless denoted by +

Dep. Var	Independent variables	Coefficient	Std. err	t-statistic	Pr.	R ²
	Constant	2.7541	0.7686	3.5833	0.0004	0.884
	Consumption	0.4509	0.1184	3.8102	0.0001	
.E.	Invetsment	0.3865	0.0455	8.4877	0.0000	
ded ture	Exports of goods and services	0.4367	0.0427	10.2157	0.0000	
Ad icul	Imports of goods and services	-0.6639	0.0734	-9.0490	0.0000	
alue Agr	Trend+	-0.0148	0.0040	-3.6823	0.0002	
>	Agriculture dummy+	0.1573	0.0101	15.5579	0.0000	
	94-02 dummy+	-0.3276	0.0365	-8.9771	0.0000	
	86,87,88 dummy+	0.2075	0.0255	8.1279	0.0000	
	Constant	0.1984	0.6672	0.2974	0.7662	0.971
c	Private consumption	0.5731	0.1083	5.2912	0.0000	
ed ii y	Private investment	0.2235	0.0459	4.8733	0.0000	
Adde	Government investment	0.0781	0.0284	2.7474	0.0061	
ue ∕ Indı	Exports of goods and services	0.1104	0.0531	2.0808	0.0377	
Val	Imports of goods and services	-0.2704	0.0950	-2.8475	0.0045	
ŕ	Trend	0.0088	0.0042	2.1195	0.0343	
	94-02 dummy	0.6600	0.0465	14.2015	0.0000	
<u>ا</u> ا	Constant	4.4082	1.0787	4.0867	0.0000	0.872
	Private consumption	0.2713	0.1432	1.8945	0.0585	
n n	Private investment	0.2469	0.0541	4.5635	0.0000	
ded ctio	Government investment	0.4789	0.0338	14.1898	0.0000	
: Ad stru	Imports of goods and services	-0.3007	0.0844	-3.5607	0.0004	
alue Con	Trend+	-0.7716	0.2340	-3.2971	0.0010	
» S	99 dummy+	0.7466	0.0421	17.7156	0.0000	
	94-02 dummy+	-0.8438	0.0730	-11.5600	0.0000	
	AR(1)	0.8087	0.0198	40.8764	0.0000	
	Constant	3.9365	0.2666	14.7673	0.0000	0.986
	Private consumption	0.2392	0.0456	5.2514	0.0000	
Ľ.	Government consumption	0.1654	0.0262	6.3148	0.0000	
ded	Private investment	0.0218	0.0197	1.1019	0.2708	
Ad	Government investment	0.0929	0.0121	7.6986	0.0000	
alue Se	Exports of goods and services	0.1560	0.0211	7.3912	0.0000	
N,	Imports of goods and services	-0.2300	0.0367	-6.2595	0.0000	
	Trend+	0.0381	0.0017	22.2064	0.0000	
	94-02 dummy+	-0.2282	0.0220	-10.3899	0.0000	

Table 6: Regression results of value added equations*

* All variables are in logs unless denoted by +

In/Out-of-Sample Simulation: Cost of Closures⁴

As indicated earlier, the severe impact of the Israeli restrictive security measures coupled with the prolonged closure policy has resulted in a drastic economic decline that has assisted in an upsurge in both poverty and unemployment rates never before experienced since the Israel first occupied the West Bank and Gaza in 1967. A number of international organizations, including the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), the Office of the United Nations Special Coordinator in the Occupied Territories (UNSCO) and the World Bank,⁵ have been monitoring and evaluating the impact of the Israeli closure policy on Palestinian economic and social indicators. However, there was no systematic assessment to quantify the economic cost of such a policy. This section presents the results of in-sample simulations used to evaluate the economic opportunity cost of the closure policy starting In September 2000 with the eruption of the second Intifada. One of the endogenous variables in the model is the number of closure days/year (CDX). This annual figure represents the number of curfew/closure days per year where the Israeli authorities have fully or partially restricted the movement of man and goods within/from/to the West Bank and Gaza.⁶ The analysis compares the simulated values of the model's endogenous variables for two scenarios over the period 2000-2004. While the first (baseline) reproduces all the endogenous variables using the exogenous variables actual historical values, the second scenario (less closure) assumes actual values for all exogenous variables except for two: number of closure days and foreign net current transfers (FNCTR). The reason for assuming different values for FNCTR is the increase seen during 2000-2004 was directly related to the closure policy, when the international community had substantially increased its support to the Palestinian people to help them cope with the crisis. Table 7 shows the actual and assumed levels for CDX and FNCTR. The less closure scenario assumes that (i) number of closure days during 2000-2004 stayed constant at a level close to the average of the previous three years (40 days/year); and (ii) foreign net current transfers increased at a rate of 5% per year.

	Number of c	losure days/year	Net curr 1997 U	ent transfers S\$ million
	Actual	Assumed	Actual	Assumed
1992	0	0	263.2	263.2
1993	26	26	333.3	333.3
1994	76	76	484.6	484.6
1995	102	102	410.3	410.3
1996	138	138	459.1	459.1
1997	85	85	395.1	395.1
1998	48	48	373.7	373.7
1999	12	12	403.4	403.4
2000	64	40	599.7	423.6
2001	210	40	991.2	444.7
2002	260	40	1173.9	467.0
2003	130	40	1496.6	490.3
2004	220	40	1400.0	514.9

Table 7: Assumptions for cost of closure simulation

⁴ Model estimation is based on the period 1972-2002. 2003 and 2004 are out of the estimation sample.

⁵ See UNCTAD (2004), UNSCO (2002) and the World Bank (2004 and 2003).

⁶ Number of closure days for 1993-2002 was monitored by UNSCO. The figures for 2003 and 2004 are based on the assumption that closures in these two years were, respectively, 50% and 15% less than was the case in 2002, when the closures reached a peak and economic contraction was the most since 2000.





Figure 4 exhibits the impact of the closure policy on the economy and total Palestinian employment. As expected, Palestinian employment in Israel has received the severest impact. Table 8 shows that during 2000-2004 Palestinians lost 268 thousands full-time job in the Israeli market and 207 thousands job in the domestic market, a total of almost half a million jobs. These results suggest that the availability of the Israeli market for excess Palestinian labor is politically sensitive and, therefore, the Palestinian policy makers should not consider this as a sustainable option for reducing future unemployment rates.

	I	Domestic e	mploymen	t	Palest	inian emp	Total			
	Baseline	less closure	Loss	% to <i>less</i> closure	Baseline	less closure	Loss	% to less closure	Loss	% to less closure
2000	483125	480792	2333	0.5	104724	116017	-11293	-9.7	-8961	-1.5
2001	488472	518684	-30212	-5.8	57985	120068	-62083	-51.7	-92295	-14.4
2002	484990	538607	-53617	-10.0	51008	130841	-79833	-61.0	-133450	-19.9
2003	498013	562917	-64904	-11.5	89974	132541	-42567	-32.1	-107471	-15.5
2004	551917	612539	-60622	-9.9	62106	134313	-72207	-53.8	-132829	-17.8
Cumulative		-207023				-267983		-475006		

Table 8: Employment cost of Israeli security and closure measures

	GDP at factor cost 1997 US\$ mill.Allocation of economic loss - %					Impact on economic structure - %										
	Base lass		% to				Agric	Agriculture		Industry		ruction	1 Services			
	-line	closure	Loss	less	Agric	Ind.	Const	Serv.	Base-	less	Base-	less	Base-	less	Base-	less
		010511.0		closure					line	closure	line	closure	line	closure	line	closure
2000	4374	4514	-140	-3.1	21.9	18.3	7.2	52.6	12.4	12.7	16.2	16.3	7.5	7.5	63.9	63.5
2001	3834	5273	-1439	-27.3	16.3	21.6	7.2	54.9	9.2	11.2	16.3	17.7	6.7	6.8	67.8	64.3
2002	3918	5724	-1806	-31.6	19.3	21.9	4.5	54.3	10.1	13.0	16.1	17.9	4.2	4.3	69.6	64.8
2003	4763	5918	-1155	-19.5	15.2	22.5	4.4	57.9	10.0	11.0	17.5	18.5	4.5	4.5	68.0	66.1
2004	4734	6601	-1868	-28.3	18.1	22.2	4.4	55.3	10.0	12.3	16.7	18.3	4.2	4.3	69.0	65.1
Cu	imula	tive	-6407	-22.8	17.6	21.9	5.1	55.3								

Table 9: Economic opportunity cost of Israeli security and closure measures – 1997 US\$ million

Table 9 summarizes the economic opportunity cost of the Israeli closure policy. The cumulative economic opportunity cost of 5 years of closure policy is estimated to be around US\$ 6.4 billion. This is equivalent to almost 23% of the GDP that would have been produced in these five years had there been no closures. The table also shows that the services sector received the largest share of this loss, i.e., 55% of the cumulative damage. Agriculture and construction received the smallest share of total cumulative loss, 17.6% and 5.1% respectively. Based on this Palestinian policy makers should give more attention to the agriculture sector as the economy shock absorber.

Out-of-Sample Simulations: Baseline Scenario

The following section will attempt to evaluate the impact of a number of proposed economic policies for Palestine. To conduct such evaluations a benchmark is required to measure the effects of the alternative scenarios. This section discusses the assumptions and the forecast of this benchmark. However, it should be emphasized that with the past history and political instability that the Palestinian economy has experienced, and most likely will continue to experience in the next few years, the objective of this baseline scenario is not to forecast the growth of the Palestinian economy. The objective is, therefore, strictly to provide decision makers with some policy recommendations regarding the effectiveness of alternative options that are at their disposal.

Table 10 summarizes the baseline forecast assumptions for the model's exogenous variables (policy and external factors) over the period 2005-2015. Most of the data for 2004 are either actual or preliminary estimates from PCBS or the IMF's most recent *World Economic Outlook* publication. The overall political assumptions that influence the future behaviour of all exogenous variables in the baseline scenario are: (i) a movement towards some sort of a settlement between the PNA and Israel in 2005-06; (ii) the international community will maintain a high level of financial aid in 2005-06 to support the PNA efforts to revive the economy; (iii) more mobility of goods and labor within/from/to the West Bank and Gaza, but with the understanding that it will not be 100% free, thus similar to the 1994-2000 experience; and (iv) trade and fiscal arrangements between the PNA and Israel and the ROW will return to those which prevailed between 1994 and September 2000, keeping in line with the 1994 Protocol on Economic Relations between the Government of Israel and the

	Internal policy/exogenous variables						External exogenous variables							
	Public investment	Gov. transfers	Public employ.	Credit extension	Population	Income tax rate	VAT rate	Current transfers 97\$ mill	Closure days/year	Israel lending rate	Exchange rate	Israel GDP	Israel CPI	Jordan GDP
	Annual average growth rate				Annual	average	A	Annual averageNIS/US\$ Ann. av		verage growth rate				
1988-93	14.6	4.5	-0.5	19.8	5.4	3.4	2.7	227	11	0.271	2.18	5.3	15.8	2.0
1994-99	1.3	0.0	15.6	60.2	4.3	1.8	5.9	421	77	0.183	3.43	0.0	0.0	0.0
2000-03	-26.0	-201.8	1.7	-6.5	4.6	1.0	6.0	1132	177	0.108	4.42	-0.1	2.4	4.1
2004	13.0	2.9	2.4	13.0	3.6	1.0	9.2	1400	220	0.103	4.52	3.8	0.2	4.8
2005	8.0	10.3	2.0	8.0	4.3	1.0	9.2	1300	155	0.108	4.53	3.7	2.4	4.9
2006	7.0	5.8	1.5	7.0	4.3	1.5	9.2	900	90	0.118	4.55	3.6	2.4	4.7
2007	5.0	5.1	1.5	6.0	4.2	3.0	9.2	850	45	0.110	4.61	4.1	2.5	4.6
2008	4.0	4.0	1.5	5.0	4.0	3.0	9.2	800	45	0.105	4.67	4.2	2.6	4.4
2009-15	3.0	3.6	1.5	4.0	3.6	3.0	9.2	700	45	0.093	4.93	3.3	2.9	4.0

Table 10: Baseline forecast assumptions, 2004-2015

Table 11: Baseline forecast, 2004-2015 - Main indicators

	GD	P	GDP pe	r capita	Un-employ	Domes. er	nploym't	Employm'	t in Israel	Employment		Trade balance		Public
	97\$ mill	% chng	97\$	% chng	rate	worker	% chng	worker	% chng	domstc	In Israel	total	Isarel	deficit
	end-period	ann. avg.	end-period	Ann. avg.	End-period	end-period	ann. avg.	end-period	ann. avg.	% in to	tal emp.	% of	f GDP - en	id-period
1994	3012	-4.0	1406	-20.2	10.5	355800	53.3	73750	-11.9	82.8	17.2	-61.3	-44.3	-17.3
1994-1999	4512	6.2	1612	-1.5	17.0	422300	10.5	135500	8.4	75.7	24.3	-64.2	-36.2	-5.6
2000-2002	3839	-5.2	1189	-9.6	31.4	435000	1.0	50250	-28.2	89.6	10.4	-46.9	-26.8	-30.7
2003	4011	4.5	1185	-0.4	25.6	534000	22.8	57000	13.4	90.4	9.6	-62.0	-36.6	-21.0
2004	3908	-2.6	1114	-6.0	27.9	547512	2.5	50028	-12.2	91.6	8.4	-71.1	-42.2	-25.1
2005	4204	7.6	1149	3.1	25.7	564421	3.1	61073	22.1	90.2	9.8	-67.8	-40.0	-23.3
2006	4728	12.5	1240	7.9	22.7	601815	6.6	81705	33.8	88.0	12.0	-58.4	-34.0	-20.2
2003-2007	5339	6.8	1344	2.5	18.6	654172	8.5	100582	14.9	86.7	13.3	-52.9	-30.4	-15.9
2008-2015	7596	4.5	1434	0.8	16.5	926180	4.4	114535	1.6	89.0	11.0	-45.5	-25.7	-11.8

Palestine Liberation Organization (Paris Protocol). The last assumption implies the continuations of the quasi-custom union with Israel. This indicates that all Israeli trade agreements with the ROW (with some minor exceptions) also apply to Palestinian trade and that Israel will continue to collect the VAT and customs dues on Palestinian imports on behalf of the PNA.



Figure 5: Baseline forecast, 2004-2015 - Main indicators

Table 11 and Figure 5 present the result of the baseline forecast scenario for the economy's main indicators over the period 2004-2015. What is worth noting is that the economy will respond aggressively with the easing of closures and the injection of a substantial amount of current transfers from abroad. The average annual economic growth rate in the first period of the forecast (2003-2007) drops from 6.8% to 4.5 in the second period (2008-2015). Growth of GDP per capita also declines from 2.5% per year to less than 1%. The unemployment rate declines from the present level of almost 28% to reach bottom at 15% in 2010. This rate, however, starts to climb up again to top almost 17% by the end of the forecast period. Improvements in the public and trade deficits slow down significantly after 2008. Palestinian labor will continue to depend on the Israeli market with 11% of Palestinian employment projected to work in Israel by the end of the forecast period.

These figures suggest that easing the Israeli closures and movement towards some sort of a settlement with initial increase in donor support will lead to a rebound in the West Bank and Gaza's economic activities in the short term. However, a return to the pre-Intifada framework of economic relations and conditions will not position the economy on a path of sustained growth capable of raising income per capita to significant levels and reducing the unemployment rate to a single digit. This requires some serious thinking on the part of the Palestinian decision makers in order to develop an integrated and comprehensive economic policy framework package. Such a package should reconsider all the existing arrangements including trade, fiscal and labor and should be the base for future economic negotiations with the Israel and bordering Arab States.

Out-of-Sample Simulations: Alternative Policy Scenarios

This section assesses the impact of three alternative policies in the areas of public finance and investment, labor and trade. The aim of this application is neither to propose a policy package that includes combined instruments from the three policies, nor to shock the economy with a single policy to bring it to sustainable internal and external balances during a certain period of time. Rather the objective is to provide policy makers with some sense of the expected impact each policy will have separately, after shocking the model with a moderate change in the relevant policy instrument. Therefore, the following analysis focuses on the independent evaluation of each policy against the baseline scenario.

Fiscal Policy Scenario

As discussed in previous sections, although the scope of the fiscal policy in the occupied Palestinian territory has expanded after the establishment of the PNA, it is still constrained by limited public revenue sources. In the past four years the PNA's fiscal position has deteriorated considerably as a result of the crisis and the sever economic contraction it has caused. In addition, the PNA does not have control over one of the most, if not *the* most, important sources of public revenue, namely revenue from tariff and VAT on Palestinian imports. According to the Paris Protocol between the PNA and the Israeli government, the latter collects these revenues on behalf of the former. The baseline scenario assumes that this arrangement will continue and therefore it further assumes that a very non-aggressive public spending policy will prevail. As an alternative, this proposed fiscal policy scenario advises an increase of 10% and 5% in public investment and government transfers, respectively, above their levels in the baseline scenario for every year in the forecast period. Furthermore, the government will implement a "distortion correction scheme" targeting non-construction investment, which is assumed to cause a gradual decline in non-construction investment price deflator from 100% in 2005 to 90% in 2009 and beyond. Finally, the fiscal policy scenario assumes there will be some improvement in the collection of VAT, leading to an increase in its average effective rate gradually from the assumed 9.2% in the baseline in 2005 to reach 10% in 2011and beyond.

Figure 6 shows the impact of this policy scenario on GDP, unemployment rate, and fiscal and trade balances. The comparison between this scenario and the baseline indicates that the proposed fiscal measures could increase 2015 GDP level and reduce the unemployment rate by 2.8% and 1.7%, respectively. The cost of this improvement is a 1.5% increase in the trade deficit to GDP ratio, and a 1.3% increase in the public deficit to GDP ratio. This suggests that while there is a need to pursue aggressive expansionary fiscal policy to increase income and

reduce unemployment, the sustainability of this policy will depend on increasing the sources of public revenues and improving the efficiency of its collection. In this regard, the present arrangements of VAT and import tariff collection need to be reconsidered.



Figure 6: Fiscal policy scenario, 2004-2015 - Main indicators

Labor Policy Scenario

While the Palestinian labor market is mostly unregulated from the PNA side, supply of Palestinian workers in Israel is strictly restricted by closures and controlled by a permit system implemented by the Israeli authorities. However, as Bulmer (2003) indicates, the actual number of Palestinians working in Israel is always larger than the number of permits granted. Farsakh (1999) also shows that Israel has institutionalized the discrimination against Palestinian labor. The marginal payroll tax rates for Palestinian workers in the formal sectors are 8-20 points higher than for guest workers. Nonetheless, wage of Palestinians working in Israel continues to be at least 35% higher than the average domestic wage.

This labor policy scenario considers a PNA tax on the wages of Palestinians working in Israel. The objective of this tax is not only to discourage Palestinian employment in Israel, but to promote domestic employment through sectoral employment generation schemes financed from the revenue of this tax. Therefore, this scenario assumes a revenue neutral tax where all collections are totally allocated to domestic employment generation programs, such as sectoral wage-sharing or any other plan that reduces the wage to the employer while the employee receives the market rate. Specifically, the scenario assumes a 28% tax on wage earnings of Palestinian employment in Israel in 2006, increasing it to 38% in 2012 on, to bring net (after tax) wages from employment in Israel close to the average domestic wage. Thus, 30%, 30% and 40% of the tax revenue is allocated to generate sectoral domestic employment in agriculture, industrial and services sectors, respectively. Construction employment is assumed to indirectly benefit for the increased employment in the other three sectors.

Figure 7 provides a snapshot of the impact of the labor scenario. The policy assessment reveals that Palestinian labor supply to the Israeli market is very in-elastic with respect to wage, but very responsive to overall labor supply and closure days. Palestinian workers in Israel are mostly unskilled workers and work mainly in agriculture and construction. They are willing to work in Israel for the same wage they would receive in Palestine in the event they could find a job in the domestic market. In other words, these are the unskilled excess labor force that cannot be employed domestically. Therefore, large tax on Palestinian employment in Israel will reduce employment in Israel only marginally. But the distribution of tax revenue on domestic sectoral employment generation schemes (agriculture, industry and service) is very effective. As a result the 2015 unemployment rate dropped from 16.5% in the baseline to 8.7% in the labor scenario. The analysis also shows that there is no internal or external balance costs associated with this policy. Actually, minor improvements in public and trade deficits are expected towards the end of the forecast period. However, the main cost of this policy is a 7.5% loss in the economy's labor productivity in 2015. Hence, it is recommended that any labor policy should be accompanied by investment promotion programs to mitigate any possible negative impact on productivity.



Figure 7: Labor policy scenario, 2004-2015 - Main indicators

Trade Policy Scenarios

As for Palestinian international trade, the baseline scenario assumes that the Paris Protocol quasi-custom union (QCU) between the PNA and Israel will continue in the future. The broad features of this arrangement are (i) zero tariffs on trade between Israel and Palestine; (ii) Israeli trade regime (with some exceptions: limited access to Egyptian and Jordanian markets) applies to Palestinian trade; (iii) the Israeli tariff structure applies to Palestinian imports from the ROW; and (iv) Israel collects VAT and tariff on Palestinian imports from ROW on behalf of the PNA. In regards to the third point above, in recent years Israel has significantly liberalized its trade and hence the average tariff rate for a typical Israeli import basket has been reduced to 8.3%. However, according to Astrup and Dessus (2001), this same liberalized tariff structure implies an average tariff rate of 16.6% for a typical Palestinian import basket. It is important to emphasis that this liberalized tariff structure suits more the technologically advanced Israeli economy than it does the severe distortion and structural weaknesses of an economy under occupation for more than 35 years. Actually, in any future PNA negotiations for WTO accession, Palestine could obtain a much more favorable tariff structure similar to many developing countries.



Figure 8: Trade policy scenarios, 2004-2015 - Main indicators

To assess the merits of the status-quo this section considers two alternative trade regimes; Non-Discriminatory Trade policy (NDTP) or Most Favorite Nation Arrangement (MFN) and Free Trade (FT) agreement with Israel.⁷

According to the NDTP regime, the same tariff rates will be applied to Palestinian imports from Israel and the ROW (no discrimination among countries). To simulate this in the model, the NDTP scenario assumes that tariffs on Palestinian imports from Israel will increase from 0% in 2005 to 16.6% in 2011, and tariffs on Israeli imports from the PNA will increase from 0% to 8.3% during the same period. Furthermore, the PNA will introduce distortion correction schemes targeting Palestinian exports to reduce export prices from 100% in 2005 to 83.4% (of the baseline) in 2011and beyond. The implementation of the NDTP will require an increase in public employment, above the baseline levels, from 0% in 2005 to 0.45% in 2011 and beyond.

In regards to a FT, trade between the PNA and Israel will be tariff free, but each party could choose any different tariff structure in line with its development strategy and any other trade agreement with a third party. This policy scenario assumes tariffs on imports from the ROW (excluding Israel) to decline gradually starting 2005 to reach 91.7% of their levels in 2011 on. Likewise, tariffs on the ROW (excluding Israel) imports from the PNA to decline to be 91.7% of their levels in 2011 and beyond. Similar to the NDTP regime the PNA will introduce distortion correction schemes targeting Palestinian exports to reduce export prices from 100% in 2005 to 83.4% (of the baseline) in 2011and beyond. Lastly, the introduction of the FT will require an increase in public employment, above the baseline levels, from 0% in 2005 to 0.67% in 2011and beyond.

Figure 8 provides a comparison between the baseline (QCU) and the two alternative trade scenarios. The results suggest that while both trade regimes are superior to the QCU, NDTP is superior to the FT regime. The former produces higher income, lower unemployment and smaller internal and external deficits. FT regime is inferior to the baseline scenario from the public finance point of view. It should be mentioned, however, that the positive impact of the NDTP could be enlarged if the PNA manage to set tariffs at rates higher than the Israelis, and closer to those rates obtained under the special and differential WTO provisions accorded to a number of less developed countries.

Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

The previous discussion reveals that the economic opportunity cost of the Israeli closure policy in the West Bank and Gaza during the 2000-2004 period is substantial. It is estimated to be around 1997 US\$ 6.4 billion or one and half times the size of the GDP in 2000. During this crisis period the agriculture sector behaved like the shock absorber of the economy, and therefore it should get more attention from the Palestinian policy makers. It is also important that they do not consider the Israeli market as a sustainable option for reducing the future unemployment rate. The focus should be on promoting domestic employment.

By easing Israeli closure measures, having movement towards some sort of a political settlement between the Palestinian National Authority and Israel, and increasing donor

⁷ In a computable general equilibrium framework, Astrup and Dessus (2001b) consider these two alternative trade regimes. Their findings are in agreement with the outcome of this model.

support will help the Palestinian economy recover from its present depressed level. But these three factors combined are not sufficient to carry the economy to the path of sustainable growth. What is required is an integrated and comprehensive economic policy framework, through which all the existing arrangements including public finance and investment, trade, and labor should be reconsidered.

According to the result of the policy scenario analysis, there is a need to pursue aggressive expansionary fiscal policy to increase income and reduce unemployment. However the sustainability of such a policy is not possible under the presently available sources of public revenue and the existing fiscal arrangement. The present system of VAT and import tariff collection needs to be reconsidered.

While a tax on Palestinian workers in Israel would reduces Palestinian employment in Israel only marginally, the revenue of this tax could be very effective in reducing unemployment if it is allocated to finance sectoral employment generation schemes. However, this labor tax or any other labor policy should be accompanied by investment promotion programs to reduce any possible negative impact on productivity.

The Non-Discriminatory Trade Policy or the Most Favorite Nation treatment is the most advantageous trade regime for Palestine. However, when negotiating for WTO attainment, the PNA needs to target the special and differential provisions accorded to a number of least developed countries. This will allow the PNA to set import tariffs at rates higher than the liberalized rates of Israel, and hence help the economy to deal with the distortions and structural weaknesses caused by the long years of occupation.

Viability of the Palestinian economy and the sustainability of its future growth require merging the three policies discussed here in a single comprehensive package to reinforce the complementarities among trade, fiscal, investment and labor policies and to integrate them in a single framework. Furthermore, the policy measures proposed in all three scenarios are moderate (for illustration purposes), and thus the PNA could pursue more aggressive measures to achieve internal-external sustainability at a faster rate.

Appendix A-1

Code	Variable	Measurement
CDX	Number of closure days/year imposed by Israeli authority	Days
CEXTX	Credit Extension	Million US\$
CPR	Private consumption	1997 US\$ million
CTR	Total consumption	US\$ million
D_UEM	Unemployment rate	%
D_UEMIS	Unemployment rate in Israel	%
DDEM	Labor demand (total employment including Israel)	Worker
DEM1	Domestic employment in agriculture	Worker
DEM1_TD	Share of agriculture employment in domestic employment	%
DEM2	Domestic employment in industry	Worker
DEM2_TD	Share of industry employment in domestic employment	%
DEM3	Domestic employment in construction	Worker
DEM3_TD	Share of construction employment in domestic employment	%
DEM4	Domestic employment in services	Worker
DEM4_TD	Share of service employment in domestic employment	%
DEMDT	Total domestic employment	Worker
DEMG	Government employment	Worker
DEMIS	Palestinian employment in Israel	Worker
DLP	Labor productivity (value added/domestic employment)	\$/worker
DLP1	Labor productivity in agriculture (sector 1)	\$/worker
DLP2	Labor productivity in industry (sector 2)	\$/worker
DLP3	Labor productivity in construction (sector 3)	\$/worker
DLP4	Labor productivity in services (sector 4)	\$/worker
DLS	Labor supply	Worker
DLSF	Female labor supply	Worker
DLSM	Male labor supply	Worker
DMPW	Manpower (population 15+)	Person
DMPWF	Manpower – female	Person
DMPWM	Manpower – male	Person
DPARF	Female participation rate	%
DPARM	Male participation rate	%
DPOP	Population	Person
DPOP_MPFX	Percentage of females in working age in female population	%
DPOP_MPMX	Percentage of males in working age in male population	%
DPOP_SHFX	Share of females in total population	%
DPOP_SHMX	Share of males in total population	%
DPOPF	Female population	Person
DPOPGRWX	Population annual growth rate	%
DPOPM	Male population	Person
DRTRNFX	Female returnees	Person
DRTRNMX	Male returnees	Person

Table A1: Variable definitions and data referencing

Code	Variable	Measurement
EXCHX	Israeli exchange rate	NIS/US\$
FBTR	Balance of trade: goods and services	1997 US\$ million
FBTR_SH	Balance of trade – GDP ratio	%
FCAR	Current account	1997 US\$ million
FEXGDR	Exports of goods	1997 US\$ million
FEXGDR_SH	Share of goods in total exports	%
FEXISR	Exports of goods and services to Israel	1997 US\$ million
FEXISR_SH	Exports to Israel – GDP ratio	%
FEXOR	Exports of goods and services to the ROW	1997 US\$ million
FEXSER	Exports of services	1997 US\$ million
FEXSER SH	Share of services in total exports	%
FEXSHG S	Exports of goods – exports of services ratio	%
FEXTR	Total exports of goods and services	1997 US\$ million
FEXTR SH	Total exports – GDP ratio	%
FIMGDR	Imports of goods	1997 US\$ million
FIMGDR SH	Share of goods in total imports	%
 FIMISR	Imports of goods and services from Israel	1997 US\$ million
FIMISR SH	Imports form Israel – GDP ratio	%
FIMOR	Imports of goods and services from the ROW	1997 US\$ million
FIMSER	Imports of services	1997 US\$ million
FIMSER SH	Share of services in total imports	%
FIMSHGD S	Imports of goods – imports of services ratio	%
FIMTR	Total imports of goods and services	1997 US\$ million
FIMTR SH	Total import – GDP ratio	%
FNCTR	Net current transfers	1997 US\$ million
FNFIR	Net factor income	1997 US\$ million
FNFIR GDP	Openness (NFI/GDP)	%
GBUDR	Budget (government revenue – government expenditure)	1997 US\$ million
GCR	Government consumption	1997 US\$ million
GCR SH	Government consumption – GDP ratio	%
GDPFCR	Gross domestic product at factor cost	1997 US\$ million
GDPIRX	Israel real GDP (1995 base year)	US\$ million
GDPJRDRX	Jordan real GDP (1995 base year)	US\$ million
GDPMPER	GDP error or omissions	1997 US\$ million
GDPR	Gross domestic product at market prices	1997 US\$ million
GDPR_POP	GDP per capita	1997 US\$
GDPSUR	Operating surplus (GDPFCR – national wage bill)	1997 US\$ million
GDPSUR_	Operating surplus - total output ratio	Ratio
GDPWGB	Share of wage bill in total output	%
GEPEXSR	Public expenditure on export subsidy	1997 US\$ million
GEPINR	Public expenditure on investment subsidy	1997 US\$ million
GEPWAR	Public expenditure on wage subsidy (cost of employment generation scheme)	1997 US\$ million
	Seneration Seneration	1

Table A1: Variable definitions and data referencing - Continued

Code	Variable	Measurement
GETR	Total government expenditure	1997 US\$ million
GINR	Government investment (including change in invent.)	%
GINR_K	Government investment capital/ratio	Ratio
GITAX	Income taxes revenue	1997 US\$ million
GITAX_X	Income tax rate	%
GLKR	Fiscal leakage	1997 US\$ million
GNDIR	Gross national disposable income	1997 US\$ million
GNDIR_POP	Gross national disposable income per capita	1997 US\$
GNIR	Gross national income	1997 US\$ million
GNITXSR	Net indirect tax and subsidies	1997 US\$ million
GPDIR	Gross private disposable income	1997 US\$ million
GRO	Other public revenues	1997 US\$ million
GRPIMTR	Public revenue from import tariff	1997 US\$ million
GRPWISR	Public revenue from tax on Palestinian wage in Israel	1997 US\$ million
GRTR	Total government revenue	1997 US\$ million
GTFR	Transfers from government	1997 US\$ million
GVAT X	VAT rate	%
GVATPR	Potential VAT = $0.17 * \text{GDPR}$	1997 US\$ million
GVATR	Value added tax revenue	1997 US\$ million
GWGB	Public employment wage bill	1997 US\$ million
INCHINVR	Change in inventories	1997 US\$ million
INCNSTR	Investment (capital formation) constructions	1997 US\$ million
INCNSTR SH	Share of construction investment in total investment	%
INNCNSTR	Investment (capital formation) non-construction	1997 US\$ million
INNCNSTR SH	Share of non-construction investment in total investment	⁰ ∕₀
INPCNR	Private investment in non-construction	1997 US\$ million
INPCR	Private investment in construction	1997 US\$ million
INPR	Private investment (including change in inventory)	1997 US\$ million
INPR K	Private investment - capital ratio	ratio
INSGAP	Investment-saving gan	1997 US\$ million
INSHCNST N	Construction to non-construction investment ratio	Ratio
INTR	Total investment	1997 US\$ million
INTR K	Total investment - capital ratio	Ratio
KST	Canital stock	1997 US\$ million
KSTDPR	Capital stock Depreciation Rate	0/2
NSVR	National saving	1997 US\$ million
ΟΠΤΡΓΙΤ	Total output (GDPECR + intermediate input)	1997 US\$ million
	Private consumption price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PCPI	CPI in Palestine	1997 = 1.00 1997 = 1.00
	Inflation rate	
DEX	Export price deflator	1997 = 1.00
I EA DEVCD	Export price deflator	1997 = 1.00 1007 = 1.00
TEAUD	Exports-goods price defiator	1997 - 1.00

Table A1: Variable definitions and data referencing - Continued

Code	Variable	Measurement
PEXS	Exports-services price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PGDP	GDP deflator	1997 = 1.00
PIM	Imports price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PIMGD	Imports-goods price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PIMS	Imports-services price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PIN	Investment price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PINCNST	Investment-construction price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PINNCNST	Investment-non-construction price deflator	1997 = 1.00
PISX	Israel CPI	1997 = 1.00
RLX	Lending rate on NIS	%
SV_K	National saving – capital ratio	Ratio
Т	Time trend	1972 = 1
TSFEXAD	Average rate of export subsidy by destination	%
TSFEXAT	Average rate of export subsidy by kind	%
TSFEXGDR	Rate of subsidy on export-goods	%
TSFEXISR	Rate of subsidy on export to Israel	%
TSFEXOR	Rate of subsidy on export to ROW other than Israel	%
TSFEXSER	Rate of subsidy on export-services	%
TSINAV	Average rate of investment subsidy (construction & non- construction)	%
TSINCNSTR	Rate of subsidy on investment-construction	%
TSINNCNSTR	Rate of subsidy on investment-non-construction	%
TSW1_WT	Share of agriculture in total wage subsidy	%
TSW1R	Rate of subsidy on wage in agriculture	%
TSW1RSUM	Cost of wage subsidy in agriculture	1997 US\$ million
TSW2_WT	Share of industry in total wage subsidy	%
TSW2R	Rate of subsidy on wage in industry	%
TSW2RSUM	Cost of wage subsidy in industry	1997 US\$ million
TSW3_WT	Share of construction in total wage subsidy	%
TSW3R	Rate of subsidy on wage in construction	%
TSW3RSUM	Cost of wage subsidy in construction	1997 US\$ million
TSW4_WT	Share of services in total wage subsidy	%
TSW4R	Rate of subsidy on wage in services	%
TSW4RSUM	Cost of wage subsidy in services	1997 US\$ million
TSWAR	Average rate of subsidy on domestic wage	%
TSWARSUM	Total cost of domestic wage subsidy (employment generation scheme)	1997 US\$ million
TXFEXISR	Rate of Israeli tariff on Palestinian exports	%
TXFEXOR	Rate of foreign (non-Israeli) tariff on Palestinian exports	%
TXFIMAD	Average rate of import tariff by destination	%
TXFIMAT	Average rate of import tariff by kind	%
TXFIMGDR	Rate of import tariff on goods	%
TXFIMISR	Rate of tariff on imports from Israel	%
TXFIMOR	Rate of tariff on imports from other than Israel	%

Table A1: Variable definitions and data referencing - Continued

Code	Variable	Measurement
TXFIMSER	Rate of tariff on imports – services	%
TXWISR	Tax rate on wage of Palestinian employment in Israel	%
UTL_	Utilization rate = output/capital stock	%
VA1_SH	Share of agriculture in GDP (factor cost)	%
VA1R	Value added in agriculture	1997 US\$ million
VA2_SH	Share of industry in GDP (factor cost)	%
VA2R	Value added in industry	1997 US\$ million
VA3_SH	Share of construction in GDP (factor cost)	%
VA3R	Value added in construction	1997 US\$ million
VA4_SH	Share of services in GDP (factor cost)	%
VA4PBR	Value added services – public	1997 US\$ million
VA4PRR	Value added services – private	1997 US\$ million
VA4R	Value added in services	1997 US\$ million
W1R	Daily wage in agriculture (average)	1997 US\$
W2R	Daily wage in industry (average)	1997 US\$
W3R	Daily wage in construction (average)	1997 US\$
W4R	Daily wage in services (average)	1997 US\$
WAR	Average daily domestic wage	1997 US\$
WGB	National wage bill	1997 US\$ million
WIS_WA	Israel/Palestine wage ratio	Ratio
WISR	Average daily wage of Palestinian employment in Israel	1997 US\$

Table A1: Variable definitions and data referencing - Concluded

All variables are in real terms unless otherwise noted

Bibliography

- Acocella, N., (1998), *The Foundations of Economic Policy: Values and Techniques*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Al-Naqib, F., (1996), A Preliminary Evaluation of the Tax System in the West Bank and Gaza Strip, Ramallah: Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute (MAS)
- Arnon, A., Israel Luski, Avia Spivak, and Jimmy Weinblatt (1997), "The Palestinian Economy Between Imposed Integration and Voluntary Separation", Social, Economic and Political Studies of the Middle East, v 60, pp. 187 – 23
- Astrup, C. and S. Dessus (2001a), *Exporting Labour or Goods? Long-term Implications for the Palestinian Economy*, Middle East and North Africa and Economic Development group, Washington, DC: World Bank
 - (2001b) "Trade Options for the Palestinian Economy: Some Orders of Magnitude", Middle East and North Africa Working Paper Series no. 21, Washington, DC: World Bank
- Baums, R., (1989), An Econometric Model of the West Bank, Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University
- Bulmer, Ruppert E., (2003), "The Impact of Israeli Border Policy on the Palestinian Labor Market," *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, v 51, (3), pp. 657 – 676
- Cox D., and R. Harris (1985), "Trade Liberalisation and Industrial Organisation : Some Estimates for Canada". *Journal of Political Economy*, v 93 (1985), pp. 115 145
- Daoud, Y., (2002), "Government Expenditure Policies and its Consistency with Private Sector Development Needs", Ramallah: Palestine Trade Centre (PALTRADE)
 - (2003), "Financial Reform in the Palestinian National Authority: An Assessment", Ramallah: Health, Development, Information, and Policy Institute
 - (2005), "Gender Gap in Returns to Schooling in Palestine", *Economics of Education Review*, 24 (6), forthcoming

Elkhafif, M.A.T., (1996), *The Egyptian Economy: A Modeling Approach*, Westport, Connecticut and London, Praeger.

- El-Jaafari, M., (1998), *Macroeconomic Policies for Employment Promotion*, International Labour Office and Ministry of Labour.
- Farsakh, L., (1999), The Implementation of Labor Related Articles in the Protocol on Economic Relations Between the Government of Israel and the PLO: A Critical Assessment, Ramallah: Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute (MAS)
- Fishelson, G., (1989), An Econometric Model of the Gaza Strip, Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University
- Hamed, O., (1999), "Private Investment", in Diwan, I., and R. Shaban, eds., Development under Adversity: The Palestinian Economy in Transition, Ramallah: Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute (MAS) and the World Bank
- Johansen, S., (1988), "Statistical Analysis of Cointegrating Vectors", Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, v 12, PP 231-254
- Khader, A., (1999), "Fiscal Management", in Diwan, I., and R. Shaban, eds., *Development under Adversity: The Palestinian Economy in Transition*, Ramallah: Palestine Economic Policy Research Institute (MAS) and the World Bank
- Palestine Monetary Authority, (various issues), Statistical Bulletin
- Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics (PCBS, 2004a), Pres release for the Palestinian National Accounts 2003, Ramallah Palestine
- Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, (various issues), Consumer Price Index

_____, (various issues), *Labour Force Survey Report Series*

Sarkar, H., and Manoj Panda, "Short-term Structural Macroeconomic Model for India: Applications to Policy Analysis"

- UNCTAD, (1994a), "Prospect for Sustained Development of the Palestinian Economy in the West Bank and Gaza Strip, 1990-2010: A Quantitative Framework", November (1994b), "Prospects for Sustained Development of the Palestinian Economy in the West Bank and Gaza Strip, 1990 2010: A Quantitative Framework Technical Supplement"
 (2000), "Prospect for Sustained Development of the Palestinian Economy, 1990-
 - 2010: Macroeconomic Simulation Framework", (Internal document) August
- (2003), "Occupied Palestinian Territories: Economic and Demographic Database, 1972-2000"
- (2004), "Report on UNCTAD's Assistance to the Palestinian People" (TD/B/51/2), October
- UNSCO, (2002), "The Impact of and Other Mobility Restrictions on Palestinian Productive Activities: 1 January 2002 30 June 2002", October
- World Bank, (1993), "Developing the Occupied Territories, An investment in Peace, Private Sector Development"
 - _____ (2002a), "Long-Term Policy Options for the Palestinian Economy", July
- (2002b), "Long-Term Policy Options for the Palestinian Economy", Quarterly Report of the West Bank and Gaza Office, December
- _____ (2003), "Twenty Seven Months Intifada, Closures and Palestinian Economic Crisis: An Assessment", May
- (2004), "Four Years Intifada, Closures and Palestinian Economic Crisis: An Assessment", October
- Zellner, A., (1962). "An Efficient Method of Estimating Seemingly Unrelated Regressions and Tests of Aggregation Bias," *Journal of American Statistical Association*, v 57, pp. 500 – 509